NUMERICAL SOLUTION ON HEAT TRANSFER MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC FLOW OF MICROPOLAR CASSON FLUID OVER A HORIZONTAL CIRCULAR CYLINDER WITH THERMAL RADIATION
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1. INTRODUCTION

Casson fluids in the presence of heat transfer is widely used in the processing of chocolate, foams, syrups, nail, toffee and many other foodstuffs (Ramachandra et al. 2013). Casson (1959), in his pioneering work introduced this model to simulate industrial inks. Later on, a substantial study has been done on the Casson fluid flow because of its important engineering applications. Mustafa et al. (2011) have studied the heat transfer flow of a Casson fluid over an impulsive motion of the plate using the homotopy method. The exact solution of forced convection boundary layer Casson fluid flow toward a linearly stretching surface with transpiration effects are reported by Mukhopadhyay et al. (2013). In the same year, Subba et al. (2015) considered the velocity and thermal slip conditions on the laminar boundary layer heat transfer flow of a Casson fluid past a vertical plate. Mahdy and Ahmed (2017) studied the effect of magnetohydrodynamic on a mixed convection boundary flow of an incompressible Casson fluid in the stagnation point of an impulsively rotating sphere. The convective boundary layer flow of Casson nanofluid in an isothermal sphere surface is presented by Nagendra et al. (2017), Mehmood et al. (2017) investigated the micropolar Casson fluid on mixed convection flow induced by a stretching sheet. Shehzad et al. (2013) discussed the viscous chemical reaction effects on the MHD flow of a Casson fluid over a porous stretching sheet. Recently, Khalid et al. (2015) developed exact solutions for unsteady MHD free convection flow of a Casson fluid past an oscillating plate. Amongst the various investigations on Casson fluid, the reader is referred to some new attempts made in Qasim and Noreen (2014; Hussanan et al. 2014) and Haq et al. (2014), and the references therein.

Among the class of several other non-Newtonian fluid models namely micropolar fluids, this fluid flow lies in the extension of the constituent equation for Newtonian fluid, so that more complex fluids such as liquid crystal, particle suspensions, animal blood, lubrication and turbulent shear flows can be described by this theory Lukaszewicz (1999). The theory of micropolar fluids was first introduced by Eringen (1966). Ariman et al. (1973) investigated the application of micropolar fluid mechanics as review paper. The recent book by Eringen (2001) presented a useful account of the theory and extensive surveys of literature of micropolar fluid theory.

The study of boundary layer flow on a horizontal circular cylinder was first studied by Blasius (1908), who successfully solved the momentum equation of forced convection boundary layer flow. Merkin (1976) considered the free convection boundary layer on an isothermal horizontal cylinder with constant wall temperature and became the first who obtained the exact solution for this problem. Ingham (1978) developed the numerical method to solved free convection boundary layer flow on an isothermal horizontal cylinder. Merkin and Pop (1988) presented the numerical solution of the free convection boundary layer flow on a horizontal circular cylinder with constant heat flux using the Keller-box method. Next, the extended by the work of Merkin (1976) and Merkin and Pop (1988) for free convection boundary layer flow on a horizontal circular cylinder in viscous fluid to a micropolar fluid was investigated by Nazar et al. (2002). Moreover Salleh and Nazar (2010) and Alkasasbeh et al (2015;2014) work with Newtonian heating. Gaffar et al. (2015) investigated the laminar boundary layer flow and heat transfer of a Tangent Hyperbolic non-Newtonian fluid from horizontal circular cylinder with slip condition. Recently, Gaffar et al. (2017) studied the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) free convection flow and heat transfer of non-Newtonian tangent hyperbolic fluid from horizontal circular cylinder with convective boundary conditions.

Based on the above contribution, the aim of present study is to investigate the effect of MHD on free convective boundary layer flow about a horizontal circular cylinder in a micropolar Casson fluid with thermal radiation and this problem has to the author knowledge not appeared thus far in the scientific literature.
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2. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Consider the steady, laminar, two-dimensional, viscous, incompressible, buoyancy-driven convection heat transfer flow from a horizontal permeable circular cylinder embedded in a micropolar Casson fluid. For many actual fluids and flow conditions, a simple and convenient way to express the density difference \((\rho - \rho_\infty)\) in the buoyancy term of the momentum equations is given by the Boussinesq approximation \(\rho = \rho_\infty [1 - B(T - T_\infty)]\), where \(\rho_\infty\) is the constant local density, \(T\) is the local temperature, \(T_\infty\) is the ambient temperature of the fluid which is the yield stress of the fluid.

In the coordinate is measured along the circumference of the horizontal cylinder. For many actual fluids and flow conditions, a simple and convenient way to express the density difference \((\rho - \rho_\infty)\) in the buoyancy term of the momentum equations is given by the Boussinesq approximation \(\rho = \rho_\infty [1 - B(T - T_\infty)]\), where \(\rho_\infty\) is the constant local density, \(T\) is the local temperature, \(T_\infty\) is the ambient temperature of the fluid which is the yield stress of the fluid.

\[\rho = \rho_\infty, \quad \tau = \rho \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}, \quad \phi = \frac{\partial T}{\partial y}.\]

where \(\rho_\infty\) is the critical value of this product based on the non-Newtonian model and \(\rho_\infty\) is the yield stress of the fluid.

\[\pi = e_\pi e_\pi, \quad e_\pi, \quad (i, j) - \text{th component of the deformation rate, } \mu_\pi, \quad \text{the plastic dynamic viscosity of the non-Newtonian fluid, } \pi, \quad \text{is the microinertia density, } \sigma_\pi, \quad \text{is the thermal expansion coefficient, respectively. We assume that the temperature differences within the flow through the micropolar fluid such as that the term } T^4 \text{ may be expressed as a linear function of temperature. Hence, expanding } T^4 \text{ in a Taylor series about } T_\infty \text{ and neglecting higher-order terms, we get}

\[T^4 \approx 4\pi^2 T - 3\pi^4,\]

substituting variables (6)–(8) into equations (1)–(4), we obtain the following non-dimensional equations of the problem under consideration:

\[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \theta \sin x - \mu u + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y},\]

\[\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = -K \left( 2H + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial H}{\partial y},\]

\[\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\Pr} \left( 1 + \frac{4}{3} R \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y},\]

where \(K = \kappa / \mu\) is the material or micropolar parameter, \(\Pr = v / \alpha\) is the Prandtl number, \(M = \sigma B^2 a / v \rho^2 \sqrt{G}\) is the magnetic parameter and \(R = \alpha \kappa / \rho c_p / 4 \alpha \sigma T_\infty^3\) is the radiation parameter. The boundary conditions (5) become

\[u = v = 0, \quad \theta = 1, \quad H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \quad \text{at } y = 0,\]

\[u \to 0, \quad \theta \to 0, \quad H \to 0 \quad \text{as } y \to \infty.\]

To solve equations (9) to (12), subjected to the boundary conditions (13), we assume the following variables:
\[ \psi = s f(x, y), \quad \theta = \theta(x, y), \quad H = x h(x, y), \] (14)

where \( \psi \) is the stream function defined as

\[ u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad v = -\left( f(x, y) + x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right), \] (15)

so that \( u = x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \) and \( v = -\left( f(x, y) + x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) \), which satisfies the continuity equation (9). Thus, (10) to (12) become

\[ (1 + K + 2) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + \sin \theta = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}. \] (16)

\[ M \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} + 2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + 2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - K \left( 2h + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}. \] (17)

\[ \frac{1}{\Pr} \left( 1 + 4 \frac{K}{3} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} + f \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}. \] (18)

subject to the boundary conditions

\[ f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \theta = 1, \quad h = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \]
\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \theta = 0, \quad h = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty. \] (19)

It can be seen that at the lower stagnation point of the cylinder, \( x = 0 \), equations (16) to (18) reduce to the following nonlinear system of ordinary differential equations:

\[ (1 + K + 2) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + \sin \theta = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}. \] (20)

\[ (1 + K + 2) \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - K \left( 2h + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}. \] (21)

\[ \frac{1}{\Pr} \left( 1 + 4 \frac{K}{3} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} + f \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}. \] (22)

the boundary conditions (19) become

\[ f(0) = f'(0) = 0, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad h(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \]
\[ f'(0) = 0, \quad \theta(0) = 0, \quad h(0) = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty. \] (23)

where primes denote differentiation with respect to \( y \).

The physical quantities of interest in this problem are the local skin friction coefficient \( C_f \) and the local Nusselt number \( N_u \), and they can be written as

\[ C_f = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{Gr} \cdot \nu}, \quad N_u = \frac{a}{\sqrt{Gr} k (T_s - T_\infty)}, \] (24)

where

\[ \tau_s = \left( \mu + \frac{\kappa}{2} + \frac{Pr}{2 \pi} \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau}, \quad q_s = -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial \tau}, \] (25)

using the non-dimensional variables (6)-(8) and the boundary conditions (13) the local skin friction coefficient \( C_f \) and the local Nusselt number \( N_u \) are

\[ C_f = \left( 1 + \frac{K}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \right) x \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_{x=0}, \quad N_u = \left( 1 + 4 \frac{K}{3} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right)_{x=0}. \] (26)

### 3 SOLUTION PROCEDURES

Equations (16) to (18) subject to boundary conditions (19) are solved numerically using the Keller-box method as described in the book by Cebeci and Bradshaw Cebeci and Bradshaw (1984). The solution is obtained by the following four steps:

- reduce (16) to (18) to a first-order system,
- write the difference equations using central differences,
- linearize the resulting algebraic equations by Newton’s method, and write them in the matrix-vector form,
- solve the linear system by the block tridiagonal elimination technique.

The details of this method can be found in Nazar et al. (2002)

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The numerical solutions of the nonlinear system of partial differential equations (16) to (18) with boundary conditions (19) are solved by the Keller-box method (KBM) with four parameters considered, namely the Prandtl number \( Pr \), the magnetic parameter \( M \), the micropolar parameter \( K \) and Casson parameter \( \beta \). This method is an implicit finite-difference method in conjunction with Newton’s method for linearization. This is a suitable method to solve parabolic partial differential equations. The boundary layer thickness \( y_c = 16 \) and step size \( \Delta x = 0.01, \Delta x = 0.005 \) are used in obtaining the numerical results. The numerical solutions start at the lower stagnation point of the cylinder \( x = 0 \), with initial profiles as given by equations (20) to (22) and proceed round the cylinder up to \( x = \pi \).

In order to verify the accuracy of the present applied numerical scheme, a comparison with previously published results has been made. It is noticed from Table 1 that when \( Pr = 7, K = 0, 2, M = 0 \) and \( \beta \to \infty \), the results under consideration for local Nusselt number \( N_u \) reduce to the results reported by Merkin (1976) and Nazar et al. (2002) for the case of viscous and micropolar fluids respectively. It is found that the results are a good agreement. Furthermore I believe that Keller-box method is proven to be very efficient to solve this problems.

### Table 1: Comparison of numerical values for the local Nusselt number \( N_u \) at \( Pr = 1, K = 0, 3, M = 0, R = 0 \) and \( \beta \to \infty \), for viscous value \( x \) with previously published results of viscous and micropolar fluid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4214</td>
<td>0.4214</td>
<td>0.421402</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3447</td>
<td>0.344651</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi / 6 )</td>
<td>0.4161</td>
<td>0.4161</td>
<td>0.416098</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3404</td>
<td>0.340350</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi / 3 )</td>
<td>0.4007</td>
<td>0.4005</td>
<td>0.400607</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3277</td>
<td>0.327720</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi / 2 )</td>
<td>0.3745</td>
<td>0.3741</td>
<td>0.374245</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3060</td>
<td>0.305891</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2( \pi / 3 )</td>
<td>0.3364</td>
<td>0.3355</td>
<td>0.335891</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2744</td>
<td>0.274221</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5( \pi / 6 )</td>
<td>0.2825</td>
<td>0.2811</td>
<td>0.281925</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2290</td>
<td>0.228825</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \pi )</td>
<td>0.1945</td>
<td>0.1916</td>
<td>0.192101</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1507</td>
<td>0.150645</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Document results for the influence of the micropolar parameter \( K \) and Casson parameter \( \beta \) on heat transfer coefficient and the skin friction coefficient at the lower stagnation point of the cylinder when \( Pr = 7, M = 1 \) and \( R = 1 \). It is observed that, increasing micropolar parameter \( K \), decreases both skin friction and heat transfer rate. Furthermore, an increase in Casson parameter \( \beta \) increases both skin friction and heat transfer rate.

Figures 2-3 illustrates the influence of the Casson parameter \( \beta \) on the local Nusselt number and the local skin friction, respectively. It is seen from these figures that an increasing of the Casson parameter leads
to increases on the local Nusselt number and decreases on the local skin friction. Moreover, as the values of \( x \) increase, the rate values of the local Nusselt number decreases and the local skin friction increases.

The behavior of magnetic parameter \( M \) on local Nusselt number \( N_u \) and local skin friction \( C_f \) are seen in Figures 4-5. It is observed that the local Nusselt number and the local skin friction are increased with the decrease in \( M \). Intense amount of magnetic field inside the boundary layer literally increase the Lorentz force which significantly opposes the flow in the reverse direction. Thus, local skin friction coefficient and local Nusselt number rate diminishes.

Figures 6-7 show the influence of radiation parameter \( R \) local Nusselt number \( N_u \) and local skin friction \( C_f \). An increase in \( R \) from 0 (non-Radiation case) to 3, strongly accelerates the flow i.e., increasing in a local skin friction coefficient and local Nusselt number values.

Table 2: The heat transfer coefficient \(-\partial(\theta/\partial y)(x,0)\) and the skin friction coefficient \((\partial^2 f/\partial y^2)(x,0)\) at the lower stagnation point of the cylinder, \( x = 0 \), for various values of Casson parameter \( \beta \) when \( Pr = 7, K = 1, 3, M = 1 \) and \( R = 1 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( K )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( -\partial(\theta/\partial y) )</th>
<th>( (\partial^2 f/\partial y^2) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.777033</td>
<td>0.106734</td>
<td>0.762074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.869585</td>
<td>0.155168</td>
<td>0.839868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.918901</td>
<td>0.187037</td>
<td>0.878050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.950555</td>
<td>0.210040</td>
<td>0.901190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.972859</td>
<td>0.227552</td>
<td>0.916815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.989516</td>
<td>0.241376</td>
<td>0.928104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.002469</td>
<td>0.252587</td>
<td>0.936655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.012848</td>
<td>0.261873</td>
<td>0.943362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.021362</td>
<td>0.269696</td>
<td>0.948765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.028477</td>
<td>0.276380</td>
<td>0.953213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all profiles a peak arises near the surface of the cylinder and this peak is displaced progressively closer to the wall with an elevation in \( R \) values. The effect of Casson parameter \( \beta \) on temperature, velocity and angular velocity profiles are exhibited in Figures 8-10. From figure 8...
that the temperature profiles $\theta(0,y)$ increases as decreases the values of $\beta$.

**Fig. 8** Effect of Casson parameter $\beta$ on the temperature profiles at the lower stagnation point.

Figure 9 indicates that an increase in $\beta$ tends to decrease in the velocity profiles $(\partial f/\partial y)(0,y)$. It is true because is appeared in the shear term of the momentum equation (15) and an increase in implies a decrease in yield stress of the Casson fluid. Figure 10 shows that as Casson parameter $\beta$ increases, the angular velocity profiles $h(0,y)$ also increases. Physically, an increase in Casson parameter means a decrease in yield stress and increases the plastic dynamic viscosity of the fluid, which makes the momentum boundary layer thicker. This effectively slows down the fluid motion. Figures 11-13 illustrate the effects of several of magnetic parameter $M$ on temperature, velocity and angular velocity profiles. The numerical results obtained show that an increase in the magnetic parameter $M$ the values of temperature profiles $\theta(0,y)$ increases but values of the velocity $(\partial f/\partial y)(0,y)$ and the angular velocity profiles $h(0,y)$ decreases. This is in accordance to the physics of the problem, since the application of a transverse magnetic field results in a resistive type force (Lorentz force) similar to drag force which tends to resist the fluid flow and thus reducing its velocity and angular velocity.

Figures 14-16 present the effect of radiation parameter $R$ on temperature, velocity and angular velocity profiles. The observation shows that the temperature, velocity and angular velocity profiles increases with an increase in $R$ because increase the value of radiation parameter provides more heat to fluid that causes an enhancement in the temperature, velocity, angular velocity profiles and the thickness of thermal boundary layer.

**Fig. 9** Effect of Casson parameter $\beta$ on the velocity profiles at the lower stagnation point.

**Fig. 10** Effect of Casson parameter $\beta$ on the angular velocity profiles at the lower stagnation point.

**Fig. 11** Effect of magnetic parameter $M$ on the temperature profiles at the lower stagnation point.

**Fig. 12** Effect of magnetic parameter $M$ on the velocity profiles at the lower stagnation point.

**Fig. 13** Effect of magnetic parameter $M$ on the angular velocity profiles at the lower stagnation point.
5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have theoretically and numerically studied the problem of the effect of MHD free convective boundary layer flow about a cylinder in a micropolar Casson fluid with thermal radiation. We can conclude that, to get a physically acceptable solution:

- With the increase in Casson parameter $\beta$, the local Nusselt number $u_N$, the heat transfer coefficient $\theta(x,0)$, the skin friction coefficient $f(x,0)$, and velocity profiles $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,y)$ increase, while the local skin friction coefficient $f_C$, temperature profiles $\theta(x,0)$, and velocity profiles $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,y)$ decrease.

- With the increase in magnetic parameter $M$, the local Nusselt number $u_N$, local skin friction coefficient $f_C$, velocity profiles $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,y)$, and angular velocity $h(0,y)$ profiles decrease, while the temperature profiles $\theta(x,0)$ increase.

- With the increase in microplar parameter $K$, the skin friction $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,y)$ and heat transfer $\theta(x,0)$ decrease.

- With the increase in radiation parameter $R$, the local Nusselt number $u_N$, local skin friction coefficient $f_C$, the temperature $\theta(0,y)$, velocity profiles $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,y)$ and angular velocity $h(0,y)$ profiles increase.
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NOMENCLATURE

- $a$: Radius of the cylinder
- $B$: Thermal expansion coefficient
- $C_f$: Local skin friction coefficient
- $c_p$: Specific heat
- $f$: Reduced stream function
- $j$: Microinertia density
- $H$: Angular velocity of micropolar fluid
- $g$: Acceleration due to gravity
- $Gr$: Grashof number
- $K$: Material or micropolar parameter
- $k$: Thermal conductivity
- $k'$: Mean absorption coefficient
- $M$: Magnetic parameter
- $N_a$: Local Nusselt number
- $R$: Radiation parameter
- $Pr$: Prandtl number
- $p_i$: Yield stress of the fluid
- $q_w$: Constant wall heat flux
- $T$: Fluid temperature
- $T_\infty$: Temperature of the ambient fluids
- $u,v$: Non-dimensional velocity components along $x$ and $y$ directions
- $x,y$: Coordinates measured from the lower stagnation point along the surface of cylinder and Normal to it, respectively

Greek Symbols

- $\alpha$: Thermal diffusivity
- $\beta$: Parameter of the Casson fluid
- $\phi$: Spin gradient viscosity
- $\theta$: Non-dimensional temperature
- $\mu$: Dynamic viscosity
- $\mu_s$: Plastic dynamic viscosity of the non-Newtonian fluid
- $\pi_c$: Critical value of this product based on the non-Newtonian model
- $\kappa$: Vortex viscosity
- $\sigma$: Electric conductivity
\[ \sigma \] Stefan-Boltzmann constant
\[ \alpha \] Thermal diffusivity
\[ \rho \] Fluid density
\[ \nu \] Kinematic viscosity
\[ \psi \] Non-dimensional stream function
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