Numerical investigations on flow and heat transfer characteristics in a circular tube heat exchanger inserted with right triangular wavy surfaces are reported. The configurations of the wavy surfaces; incline and V-shape, are studied with flow attack angles of 30°, 45° and 60° for the Reynolds numbers, \( Re = 100 – 2000 \). The numerical results are compared with the smooth circular tube. The mechanisms on flow and heat transfer in the tube heat exchanger, with the wavy surface are presented. As the results, the wavy surface can generate the vortex flow and impinging flow through the test section that helps to improve the heat transfer rate and thermal performance. The impingement of the flow on the tube wall disturbs the thermal boundary layer that is an important factor to enhance the heat transfer rate. The V-Downstream wavy surface can create the highest strength of the impinging flow that leads to the highest heat transfer rate. In the range investigated, the augmentations are around 1.2 – 7.6 and 4 – 43.6 times above the smooth tube for the heat transfer and friction loss, respectively. In addition, the optimum thermal enhancement factor, \( TEF \), is around 2.42 for the V-Downstream wavy surface at \( \alpha = 30^\circ \) and \( Re = 2000 \).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Heat exchanger is an important equipment in many industries; chemical industry, food industry, automotive industry, etc. The improvement of the heat exchanger can help to save cost and energy for the manufacturing process. The enhancement of the thermal performance in the heat exchanger is always done by using the passive method. The concept of the passive method is to generate the vortex flow, impinging flow and disturbing the thermal boundary layer by the vortex generators (not require the addition power into the heat exchanger). There are many types of the vortex generators such as rib, baffle, fin, winglet, roughness surface. The selection of the vortex generators depends on the application of the heat exchanger.

Many researchers reported numerical and experimental studies on flow structures and heat transfer characteristics in heat exchangers with wavy surfaces. Numerical investigations on flow and heat transfer profiles of smooth wavy fin-and-elliptical tube heat exchanger with vortex generators were presented by Lofti et al. (2014). The rectangular trapezoidal winglet (\( RTW \)), angle rectangular winglet (\( ARW \)), curved angle rectangular winglet (\( CARW \)) and wheeler wishbone (\( WW \)) were selected to augment the heat transfer rate and performance in the heat exchanger. They pointed out that the \( CARW \) gives the best thermal performance at small attack angle of the winglet, while the \( RTW \) performs the optimum performance at high flow attack angle. Dong et al. (2013) improved fin-and-flat tube heat exchanger with wavy surface. They claimed that the amplitude of the wavy surface is an important factor to enhance the performance of the heat exchanger. Dong et al. (2010) investigated on mechanisms of flow and heat transfer in wavy fin-and-flat tube heat exchanger by both numerical and experimental methods. They concluded that the wavy amplitude is a key for the heat transfer augmentation, while the shape of the wavy surface has a few effect on the performance improvement. The heat transfer, pressure loss and thermo-hydraulic performance in fin-and-tube heat exchanger with wavy rectangular winglet vortex generators were studied by Gholami et al. (2014). They found that the wavy rectangular winglet vortex generators improve the heat transfer rate in the heat exchanger with a moderate pressure loss penalty. Gong et al. (2013) and Du et al. (2014) numerically studied on flow and heat transfer behaviors in wavy fin-and-tube heat exchanger with combined longitudinal vortex generators. The experimental investigation on thermo-hydraulic performance in wavy fin-and-tube heat exchanger with combined longitudinal vortex generators was also reported by Du et al. (2013). Ahmed et al. (2015) numerically investigated on heat transfer augmentation in a wavy channel. They summarized that the amplitude of the wavy channel has directly effect for the augmentations on heat transfer rate and pressure loss. Sui et al. (2014) experimentally investigated on flow configuration and heat transfer characteristic in wavy microchannels. They found that the wavy microchannel provides higher thermal efficiency than the baseline channel. Abhishek et al. (2014) presented 3D numerical investigations on turbulent flow and heat transfer in a wavy-valled duct. The enhancement on heat transfer rate in a channel with V-shaped wavy lower plate was reported by Abed et al. (2015). They said that the V-shaped wavy channel has advantage for using nanofluid. Yang et al. (2013) numerically studied the heat transfer enhancement in a wavy channel. The influences of the wavy amplitude and the wavy number were presented.
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As above, the wavy surface is applied on the fin plate in the fin-and-tube heat exchanger and on the channel wall to improve the thermal performance and heat transfer rate. The use of the wavy surface as the vortex generators inserted in the tube heat exchanger has rarely been reported. Therefore, in the present work, the right triangular wavy surfaces with various shapes; inclined and V-shaped wavy surfaces, are inserted in the middle of the circular tube heat exchanger to create the vortex flow and to disturb the thermal boundary layer. The disturbance of the thermal boundary layer may lead to an augmentation on heat transfer and thermal performance. The inclined and V-shaped wavy surfaces are selected due to the investigations from the Refs. (Promvonge et al. (2011), Singh et al. (2012), Hans et al. (2011), Singh et al. (2011), SriHarsha et al. (2009), Karwa and Chauhan (2010), Tang and Zhu (2013), Peng et al. (2011)). For a better understanding of the mechanisms in the tube heat exchanger, the numerical method is selected to solve the present problem. The effects of the flow attack angle and V-shaped arrangement are described.

2. COMPUTATIONAL DOMAIN AND TUBE CONFIGURATION

The three types of the wavy surfaces are inserted in the middle of the circular tube heat exchanger. The amplitude of the wavy surface is defined as $e/D = 0.1$. The angle of the wavy surface, $\theta$, is equal to 45°. The influences of the flow attack angles; $\alpha = 30^\circ, 45^\circ, 60^\circ$, on flow and heat transfer are investigated of the Reynolds numbers, $Re = 100 – 1200$. The V-Downstream and V-Upstream of the V-shaped wavy surfaces are compared.

The numerical results are also compared with the smooth tube with no wavy surface. The inclined, V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces in the circular tube heat exchanger are presented in the Figs. 1a, b and c, respectively, while the parameters of the wavy surface are depicted as the Fig. 2. Figs. 3a, b and c present the computational domain of the circular tube heat exchanger inserted with the inclined, V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces, respectively.
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3. ASSUMPTION AND BOUNDARY CONDITION

The boundary conditions for the current computational domain are presented in Table 1.

The current investigations on both flow configuration and heat transfer behavior are developed under following assumptions:
- Steady three-dimensional fluid flow and heat transfer.
- The flow is laminar and incompressible.
- Constant fluid properties.
- Body forces and viscous dissipation are ignored.
- Negligible radiation heat transfer and natural convection.

![Table 1](image4)

4. MATHEMATICAL FOUNDATION

Based on the above assumptions, the flow in circular tube is governed by the continuity, the Navier–Stokes and the energy equations. In the Cartesian tensor system these equations can be written as follows:

Continuity equation:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho u_i) = 0
\]

Momentum equation:
\[
\frac{\partial (\rho u_i u_j)}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \mu \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \right]
\]

Energy equation:
\[
\frac{\partial (\rho u_i T)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \Gamma \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_j} \right)
\]

where, \( \Gamma \) is the thermal diffusivity and is given by
\[
\Gamma = \frac{\mu}{\rho c_p}
\]

Apart from the energy equation discretize by the QUICK scheme, the governing equations are discretized by the second order upwind (SOU) scheme, decoupling with the SIMPLE algorithm, and solved by using a finite volume approach. The solutions are considered to be converged when the normalized residual values are less than 10^{-3} for all variables, but less than 10^{-9} only for the energy equation.

Four parameters of interest in the present work are the Reynolds number, friction factor, Nusselt number and thermal enhancement factor. The Reynolds number is defined as
\[
Re = \frac{\bar{u} D}{\mu}
\]

The friction factor, \( f \) is computed by pressure drop, \( \Delta p \), across the length of the periodic tube, \( L \), as
\[
f = f \frac{(\Delta p / L) D}{\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2}
\]

The heat transfer is measured by the local Nusselt number which can be written as
\[
Nu_s = \frac{h D}{k}
\]

The average Nusselt number can be obtained by
\[
Nu = \frac{1}{A} \int Nu_s \, dA
\]

The thermal enhancement factor (TEF) is defined as the ratio of the heat transfer coefficient of an augmented surface, \( h \) to that of a smooth surface, \( h_0 \), at an equal pumping power and given by
\[
TEF = \frac{h}{h_0} = \frac{Nu}{Nu_0} = (Nu / Nu_0)^{1/3}
\]

where \( Nu_0 \) and \( f_0 \) stand for Nusselt number and friction factor for the smooth tube, respectively.

5. NUMERICAL RESULT

Numerical results on flow and heat transfer in the tube heat exchanger with wavy surfaces are divided into four topics; validation of the smooth tube and grid independence, flow topology, heat transfer behavior and performance assessment. The mathematical model is validated to assure that the model provides high accuracy and precision results. The flow and heat transfer characteristics in the tube heat exchanger are reported to help to describe the mechanisms in the tested tube. The performance assessment is also presented in terms of the Nusselt number ratio (Nu/Nu0), friction factor ratio (f/f0) and thermal enhancement factor (TEF) with the Reynolds number.

5.1 Validation of the smooth tube and grid independence

The validations with the smooth tube are done by compare the present results with the values from the correlations on the Nusselt number and friction factor. The results are found in excellent agreement within ±0.03% and ±0.05%, respectively, for the Nusselt number and friction factor. Figs. 4a and b illustrate the validations of the smooth circular tube with no wavy surface for the Nusselt number and friction factor, respectively.

The grid independence is tested in the present computational domain. The numbers of grid cells around 80000, 120000, 160000, 240000 and 300000 are compared on the heat transfer and friction loss. It is found that the rise of grid cell from 160000 to 240000 has no effect on both the Nusselt number and friction factor. Therefore, the grid cells around 160000 are selected in all cases of the present investigation.

5.2 Flow topology

The flow structures in the tube heat exchanger with the wavy surfaces are reported in terms of tangential velocity vector in transverse planes, streamlines in transverse planes and streamlines in three dimensions. The flow topologies can help to describe the mechanisms in the tube heat exchanger that is a way to improve the thermal performance of the heat exchangers.

Figs. 5a, b and c present the tangential velocity in transverse planes for the tube heat exchanger with inclined, V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces, respectively, with the flow attack angle of 45\(^\circ\) and \( Re = 1000 \). In general, the wavy surfaces can generate the vortex flows through the test sections in all cases. The inclined wavy surface creates two vortex flows at the upper and lower parts of the plane, while the V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces promote four main vortex flows. At the lower part of the plane, the counter rotating flows with common-flow-down and common-flow-up are found in the wavy surfaces with V-Downstream and V-Upstream, respectively. The streamlines in transverse planes with various \( x/D \) values of the inclined, V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces are reported in the Fig. 6.

Fig. 7a displays the streamlines impinging on the tube wall of the tube heat exchanger inserted with the inclined wavy surface at \( \alpha = 45^\circ \) and \( Re = 1000 \). It is found that the air flows into the tube and impinges on the right part of the tube wall, then the air slides on the groove of the wavy surface before impinges on the left part of the tube wall. The streamlines impinging on the tube wall is plotted with the local Nusselt number distributions on the tube wall. As the figure, the impingement of the flow on the wall leads to enhance the heat transfer rate higher than the other regimes.
Fig. 5 Tangential velocity vectors in transverse planes for (a) Inclined wavy surface, (b) V-Downstream wavy surface and (c) V-Upstream wavy surface at $\alpha = 45^o$ and $Re = 1000$.

Fig. 7c illustrates the impingement flow on the tube wall for the V-Upstream wavy surface with the local Nusselt number distributions at $\alpha = 45^o$ and $Re = 1000$. The flow impinges on the V-tip of the wavy surface and slides on the groove of the wavy surface before bounces on the left-right parts of the tube wall. The highest heat transfer regime is due to the impingement flow on the tube wall. In addition, the impinging flow disturbs the thermal boundary layer on the tube wall that helps to augment the heat transfer rate and thermal performance in the heating system.

Fig. 7b reports the impinging jets on the tube wall with the local Nusselt number distributions for the V-Downstream wavy surface at $\alpha = 45^o$ and $Re = 1000$. The flow impinges on the left-right parts of the tube, and then slides on the groove of the wavy surface before flows up to the upper part of the tube wall and impinges there. The impingement flow on the upper part of the tube is found stronger than the left-right parts of the tube, therefore, the peak of heat transfer rate is found at the upper area of the test tube.

Fig. 6 Streamlines impinging on the tube wall for (a) Inclined wavy surface, (b) V-Downstream wavy surface and (c) V-Upstream wavy surface at $\alpha = 45^o$ and $Re = 1000$.

5.3 Heat transfer behavior

The heat transfer characteristics in the tube heat exchanger with the inclined, V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces are reported in terms of the temperature distributions in transverse planes and the local Nusselt number distributions on the tube wall. The contour temperature in transverse planes can help to describe the disturbance of the thermal boundary layer of the fluid flow, while the local Nusselt number distributions on the tube wall can identify the peak of heat transfer regime.
Figs. 8a, b and c present the temperature distributions in transverse planes for the tube heat exchanger with the inclined, V-Downstream wavy surfaces at $\alpha = 45^\circ$ and $Re = 1000$, respectively. In general, the blue contour (low temperature) is found at the core of the tube, while the red contour (high temperature) is found near the wall regime. The vortex flow and impinging flow help to improve the fluid mixing between near the wall and the core of the test tube. The disturbance of the thermal boundary layer is found at the upper part of the plane for the V-Upstream wavy surface.

Figs. 9a, b and c report the local Nusselt number distributions on the tube wall of the tube heat exchanger inserted with inclined, V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces, respectively, at $\alpha = 45^\circ$ and $Re = 1000$. Generally, the best heat transfer region is found at the upper part of the test tube in all cases. The inclined and V-Upstream wavy surfaces perform the peak of heat transfer regime at the upper left-right parts, while the V-Downstream wavy surface gives the best heat transfer rate at the upper zone of the tube heat exchanger. The V-Downstream wavy surface provides the largest area of red contour. This means that the V-Downstream wavy surface can generate the optimum strength of the impinging flow that results in the highest heat transfer rate.

5.4 Performance assessment

The performance analysis for the tube heat exchanger with various types of wavy surfaces is divided into three parts; heat transfer, friction loss and thermal performance. The heat transfer is reported in term of the Nusselt number ratio, $\frac{Nu}{Nu_0}$, while the friction loss and thermal performance are presented in forms of the friction factor ratio, $\frac{f}{f_0}$, and thermal enhancement factor, TEF, respectively.

The relation of the $\frac{Nu}{Nu_0}$ with the Reynolds number at various flow attack angles and types of the wavy surfaces is displayed as Fig. 10a. The $\frac{Nu}{Nu_0}$ tends to increase when increasing $Re$ in all cases. The flow attack angle of $45^\circ$ performs the highest heat transfer rate, while the flow attack angle of $30^\circ$ gives the reverse trend in all types of the wavy surfaces. The highest heat transfer rate is around 7.6, 7.5 and 4.7 times above the smooth tube with no wavy surface, respectively, for the V-Downstream, V-Upstream and inclined wavy surfaces at $Re = 2000$ and $\alpha = 45^\circ$. The V-Downstream wavy surface gives the highest heat transfer due to the highest vortex strength and strongest impinging flow on the tube wall.

The variation of the $\frac{f}{f_0}$ with the Reynolds number at various flow attack angles and configurations of the wavy surfaces is reported as Fig. 10b. The use of the wavy surface in the tube heat exchanger not only increases in heat transfer rate, but also increases in the pressure loss. The $\frac{f}{f_0}$ increases with increasing the Reynolds number. The flow attack angle of $60^\circ$ performs the highest friction loss, while the flow attack angle of $30^\circ$ gives the opposite result. The V-Upstream wavy surface provides higher friction loss than the V-Downstream wavy surface, while the inclined wavy surface produces the lowest value. The maximum friction factor is around 43.6, 38 and 26.5 times higher than the smooth tube,
respectively, for the V-Upstream, V-Downstream and inclined wavy surfaces at $Re = 2000$. 

**Fig. 10** Variations of (a) $Nu/N_{0}$, (b) $f/f_{0}$ and (c) $TEF$ with the Reynolds number.

**Fig. 11** Correlations of the $Nu/N_{0}$ for (a) inclined wavy surface, (b) V-Downstream wavy surface and (c) V-Upstream wavy surface inserted in the circular tube heat exchanger.

**Fig. 12** Correlations of the $f/f_{0}$ for (a) inclined wavy surface, (b) V-Downstream wavy surface and (c) V-Upstream wavy surface inserted in the circular tube heat exchanger.
Fig. 10c shows the variation of the TEF with the Reynolds number at various cases. The TEF increases with enhancing the Reynolds number for all cases. For V-Downstream and inclined wavy surfaces, the flow attack angle of 30° provides the highest TEF. The flow attack angle of 45° for the V-Upstream gives the greatest of the TEF in comparison with other angles. The optimum TEF is around 2.42, 2.15 and 1.7 for the V-Downstream, V-Upstream and inclined wavy surfaces, respectively, at Re = 2000. In addition, the flow attack angle of 30° for the V-Downstream wavy surface can optimize the optimum ratio between the heat transfer rate and pressure loss in the heating system.

6. CONCLUSION

Numerical investigations on flow configurations and heat transfer characteristics in the tube heat exchanger inserted with various types of the right triangular wavy surfaces; inclined, V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces, are performed. The numerical results are reported in terms of the mechanisms (on both flow and heat transfer structures) and performance evaluations. The results are compared to the smooth tube with no wavy surface. The main findings are concluded as follows:

The wavy surface generates the vortex flow and impingement flow through the test section in all cases. The impinging flow on the tube wall disturbs the thermal boundary layer that results in the rise of the heat transfer rate and thermal performance. The strength and intensity of the vortex flows are important keys to enhance the heating efficiency.

The V-Downstream wavy surface provides the highest heat transfer rate due to the highest strength of the flow, while the maximum friction loss is found in cases of the V-Upstream wavy surface. In similar configuration, the flow attack angle of 45° performs the highest heat transfer rate, while the flow attack angle of 60° gives the maximum pressure loss.

The optimum value of the TEF is found to be around 2.42 for the flow attack angle of 30° of the V-Downstream wavy surface at Re = 2000. In the range investigate, the use of the wavy surface gives the heat transfer rate and friction loss around 1.2 – 7.6 and 4 – 43.6 times above the smooth circular tube, respectively.

Figs. 11a, b and c report the correlations of the Nu/Nu0 for the inclined, V-Downstream and V-Upstream wavy surfaces inserted in the middle of the circular tube heat exchanger, respectively, while the correlations of the f/f0 are presented as Figs. 12. The correlations of the Nu/Nu0 and f/f0 for the circular tube heat exchanger inserted with various types of the wavy surfaces are presented as equations 11-16. The deviations between the present values and the values from the correlations are within ±10%.

\[
\frac{Nu}{Nu_0} = 0.244Re^{0.346}Pr^{0.8}Re^{0.085}, \text{ inclined wavy surface} \quad (11)
\]

\[
\frac{Nu}{Nu_0} = 0.233Re^{0.482}Pr^{0.4}Re^{0.344}, \text{ V-Downstream wavy surface} \quad (12)
\]

\[
\frac{Nu}{Nu_0} = 0.082Re^{0.356}Pr^{0.8}Re^{0.016}, \text{ V-Upstream wavy surface} \quad (13)
\]

\[
\frac{f}{f_0} = 0.154Re^{0.433}Re^{0.085}, \text{ inclined wavy surface} \quad (14)
\]

\[
\frac{f}{f_0} = 0.068Re^{0.389}Re^{0.433}, \text{ V-Downstream wavy surface} \quad (15)
\]

\[
\frac{f}{f_0} = 0.054Re^{0.633}Re^{0.433}, \text{ V-Upstream wavy surface} \quad (16)
\]

for \(\alpha = 30°, 45° \) and 60°, Re = 100 – 1200.
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NOMENCLATURE

- \( e \) amplitude of the wavy surface, m
- \( D \) diameter of a circular tube
- \( f \) friction factor
- GCI grid convergence index
- \( h \) convective heat transfer coefficient, W m⁻² K⁻¹
- \( k \) thermal conductivity, W m⁻¹ K⁻¹
- \( Nu \) Nusselt number
- \( p \) static pressure, Pa
- \( Pr \) Prandtl number
- \( Re \) Reynolds number,
- \( T \) temperature, K
- \( u_i \) velocity in x-direction, m s⁻¹

Greek letter

- \( \mu \) dynamic viscosity, kg s⁻¹m⁻¹
- \( \alpha \) flow attack angle, degree
- \( TEF \) thermal enhancement factor, \((= (Nu/Nu_0)/(f/f_0)^{1/3})\)
- \( \rho \) density, kg m⁻³
- \( \theta \) wavy surface angle, degree

Subscript

- \( i \) inlet
- 0 smooth tube
- w wall
- pp pumping power
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